UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,523	08/16/2006	Michel Brun	016906-0519	1063
22428 FOLEY AND 1	7590 10/03/2007 LARDNER LLP		EXAMINER	
SUITE 500			PASCHALL, MARK H	
3000 K STREE WASHINGTO			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3742	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			10/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

`	**;		
eric ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/582,523	BRUN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark H. Paschall	3742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperent of the Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence ac	idress –
• •	VIO OET TO EVENDE ANONE		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a) In no event, however, may a reply be fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDO	ON. rtimely filed om the mailing date of this of NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Jul	ly 2007.	a	
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the	e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex			
Disposition of Claims			٠.
· .			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	clastian requirement		
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	lrawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119	(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 60 6.6.6. § 110	(a)-(a) or (i).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ation No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	**	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Stage
application from the International Bureau	·		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		ved.	
	·		
Attacheranta			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (RTO 802)	A\ 🖂 Interview C	ON (DTO 442)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Linterview Summa Paper No(s)/Mai	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informa	al Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Application/Control Number: 10/582,523

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dedio 6,702,189, in view of Chudgar 5,293,583. Dedio teaches the claimed vehicle safety system including sensors 36,38,40 and 42 which connect to control device 32 to determine a crash or safety critical status, and further deenergize vehicular devices such as auxiliary heater 12, as claimed. The heater is not disclosed as an electric heater as claimed, but a burner type heater. However, the patent to Chudgar is applied for teaching a vehicle and use of an electric heater in the vehicle as an auxiliary heater. Chudgar thus evidences that auxiliary heaters in vehicles can comprise electric heaters.

Application/Control Number: 10/582,523

Art Unit: 3742

In view of this teaching it would have been obvious to modify the Dedio system to use an electric heater as the auxiliary heater, enabling more versatility in the heating and use of a safer heating and note that Dedio also disconnects other devices in the auto such as fuel supply for the engine, in the event of a crash and the above modification would merely comprise tripping a relay for an electric heater in lieu of tripping a solenoid valve for the heater, well within the skill level of one of ordinary skill in the art. As per claim 4 use of a PTC heater is an oblivious choice, such use well recognized in the art for use in vehicles.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7-09-2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants have amended the claims to define that the emergency cut-off is integrated into the control system of the apparatus. Dedio teach in column 3 lines 48-51 that the auxiliary heater is electronically connected with the solenoid valve and other components. The solenoid valve comprises part of the emergency cut off system and is therefor construed as part of the control system, barring further definition of how or what type of integration is used. Also, conventional vehicles now are computer controlled, which effects control of all vehicle electronic components. For these reasons the rejection is proper and stands and one of ordinary skill in heating and automobile control would have found proper motivation for the modification of Dedio in view of Charger et al, as set forth in the above reference. As per new claim 12 use of a PC is conventionally used in heating controls systems and is well within the level of ordinary

Application/Control Number: 10/582.523

Art Unit: 3742

skill in the art to use in the modified Dedio control system. Use of air conditioning control as peer new claim 14 is likewise obvious and routine for the artisan.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark H. Paschall whose telephone number is 571 272-4784. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/582,523

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark H Paschall Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742 Page 5

Mp